ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA750123

Filing date:

### te: 06/03/2016

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91224413
Party	Defendant Jiang, Li
Correspondence Address	LUCAS S MICHELS IRONMARK LAW GROUP PLLC 2311 N 45TH STREET, SUITE 365 SEATTLE, WA 98103 UNITED STATES Ismichels@ironmarklaw.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Lucas S. Michels
Filer's e-mail	LSMichels@ironmarklaw.com
Signature	/Lucas S. Michels/
Date	06/03/2016
Attachments	Amended Answer to Notice of Opposition Jiang Li TTAB Opposition 91224413.pdf(91065 bytes )

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

In The Matter of Trademark Application Serial No. 86616450

Trademark: FANTASA

Published: September 22, 2015

EVEDEN INC., a Massachusetts Corporation,

Opposer,

v.

JIANG, LI, a People's Republic of China Individual,

Applicant.

AMENDED ANSWER TO NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Opposition No. 91224413

#### **Amended Answer to Notice of Opposition**

In response to the Notice of Opposition submitted to the Board on October 19, 2015, and pursuant to the order granted by this Board in this proceeding on May 26, 2016, LI JIANG (*Applicant*) hereby answers the allegations of EVEDEN INC. (*Opposer*) as follows:

- 1. Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2447377, which speaks for itself. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in paragraph 1 and, on that basis, denies the same.
- 2. Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3133514, which speaks for itself. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in paragraph 2 and, on that basis, denies the same.
- 3. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations in paragraph 3 and, on that basis, denies the same.
- 4. Applicant admits the allegations of Paragraph 4.
- 5. Applicant admits that Opposer's first date of claimed use for the U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2447377 was 1996. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in paragraph 5 and, on that basis, denies the same.
- Page 1 Amended Answer to Notice of Opposition Opposition Proceeding No. 91224413

- 6. Applicant admits the allegations of Paragraph 6.
- 7. Applicant admits the allegations of Paragraph 7.
- 8. Applicant admits the allegations of Paragraph 8.
- 9. Applicant admits that the filing date for U.S. Trademark Application Serial No. 86616450 was May 1, 2015. Applicant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 9.
- 10. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 10.
- 11. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 11.

### **Request for Relief**

- 12. Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 2447377, which speaks for itself. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in paragraph 12 and, on that basis, denies the same.
- 13. Applicant admits that Opposer is the owner of U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3133514, which speaks for itself. Applicant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations in paragraph 13 and, on that basis, denies the same.
- 14. Applicant denies the allegations of Paragraph 14.

The remainder of this section of the Notice of Opposition is a legal conclusion and states a legal claim for which no response is necessary. Applicant notes that Opposer's request for fees is improper as the Board may only determine the right to register a mark, not award fees. See TBMP § 102.01; see also 15 U.S.C. § 1067(a).

#### **Affirmative Defenses**

- 15. Opposer' Notice for Opposition fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and in particular, fails to state legally sufficient grounds for sustaining the opposition.
- 16. The word FANTASIE is a pseudo-mark for the term FANTASY and, is such, a highly diluted trademark in association with goods under International Class 025, and is therefore a weak mark. Opposer's purported rights extend no further than to the specific mark which Opposer alleges it owns, none of which is the same as or confusingly similar to Applicant's mark in terms of connotation, appearance and/or pronunciation.
- 17. Applicant's use of its mark will neither mistakenly be thought by the public to derive from the same source as Opposer's goods and services, nor will such use be thought by the public to be a use by Opposer or with Opposer's authorization or approval.
- Page 2 Amended Answer to Notice of Opposition Opposition Proceeding No. 91224413

- 18. Applicant's mark in its entirety is sufficiently distinctively different from Opposer's mark to avoid confusion, deception or mistake as to the source or sponsorship or association of Applicant's goods.
- 19. Applicant's mark, when used on Applicant's goods, is not likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection or association of Applicant with Opposer, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Applicant's goods by Opposer.

WHEREFORE, Applicant, having set forth its Amended Answer to the Notice of Opposition and its affirmative defenses, respectfully requests that this opposing proceeding be dismissed, with prejudice.

Respectfully submitted this 3RD day of JUNE, 2016.

By: /s/ Lucas S. Michels Lucas S. Michels IRONMARK LAW GROUP, PLLC 2311 N. 45th Street, Suite 365 Seattle, WA 98103

Tel: (206) 547-1914 Fax: (206) 260-3688

E-Mail: lsmichels@ironmarklaw.com

Attorney for Applicant

#### **Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the foregoing *Amended Answer to Notice of Cancellation* was served on Opposer by service of said copy upon its attorneys on June 3, 2016, via electronic mail, to:

William J. Seiter
SEITER LEGAL STUDIO
2500 Broadway, Building F, Suite F-125
Santa Monica, California 90404
E-Mail: williamjseiter@seiterlegalstudio.com

By: /s/ Lucas S. Michels Lucas S. Michels IRONMARK LAW GROUP, PLLC 2311 N. 45th Street, Suite 365 Seattle, WA 98103

Tel: (206) 547-1914 Fax: (206) 260-3688

E-Mail: lsmichels@ironmarklaw.com

Attorney for Applicant